

- forgiveness between victims and perpetrators, 148
  - goals of, 192
  - historians' methodology in constructing truth, 256–57
  - historical analysis versus empirical approach, 255–57
  - in-depth interviews, 167
  - institutional impact of, 133
  - limitations of truth finding, 98–100
  - mandates and terms of reference, 101–02, 160
  - notions of truth, 251–52
  - outsider assessments, 258–59
  - perpetrator studies, 227–29, 260–61
  - post-conflict time period and reconciliation, 156
  - procedural substantive justice, 121, 121n14
  - public impact of, 132
  - public information and education, 110–11, 162
  - public opinion survey of. *See* Public opinion surveys
  - public versus nonpublic operations, 107–08
  - quantitative surveys in, 166
  - quantitative versus qualitative research, 137
  - reconciliation factors in, 146
  - religious approaches to reconciliation, 149–50
  - research and investigative units, relationship among, 256
  - research methods, 262–63
  - restorative justice model, 120
  - social environment, impact on, 125n16, 126
  - symbolism versus substance, 135–36
  - TJ policy choices, 14
  - transcript analysis, 167
  - truth recovery in TJ, 92, 254–58
  - truth-telling, need for, 249–50
  - types of reconciliation, 161
  - understanding of terminology, 165–66
  - victim studies, 261
  - victims studies, 128–29, 173–90
  - willingness and readiness to speak the truth, 250–51
- South West Africa People's Organization (SWAPO), 260
- Soviet Union (former), 33
- Spain, reconciliation and time elapsed since conflict, 156
- Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL), 28, 97, 102, 104, 130
- Special Panels for Serious Crimes in Timor-Leste, 28, 150
- Special Support Program for Peace and Reconciliation in Northern Ireland and the Border Counties of Ireland, 273
- Stevens, David, 271
- Stover, Eric, 129, 214
- Strategic challenges, in comparative research studies, 322–23
- Substance versus symbolism in research, 135–36
- Substantive versus procedural justice, 121
- Sudan, 32
- Surssock, Andree, 116
- Surveys. *See* Public opinion surveys
- Surveys in cross-national studies, 55–56
- Survivor studies
  - about, 191–92
  - data analysis, 198, 207
  - deponents, sampling, 198–201
  - ethics of, 207–13
  - focus group questions, 224–25
  - identified studies, 214–16
  - implied comparisons in, 198
  - individual or group study design, 197–98
  - informed consent, 207–09
  - key constructs, measurement of, 203–06, 216
  - lessons learned from, 216–17
  - methodology, 213–17
  - nondeponents, sampling, 201–03
  - qualitative or quantitative methods, 197
  - recruitment of participants, 213, 216–17
  - research design and principles, 195–98
  - research questions, 222
  - risk-benefit calculus, 209–13
  - sample questions, 222–25
  - sampling methods, 198–203, 216–17
  - screening questions, 223
  - what to study, 192–95
- Survivors, 192
- Symbolism versus substance in research, 135–36
- Taking Risks for Peace* (Northern Ireland Voluntary Trust), 274
- Talking and listening, in community research, 306–07
- Terms of reference, and truth commissions, 100–02
- Teune, Henry, 57
- Theidon, Kimberly, 167
- Theology, and reconciliation, 270–71
- Theoretical impact of TJ processes, 52t

- Thick description in small-*N* comparative case studies, 54
- Time
- length of post-conflict period and reconciliation, 156
  - truth commission constraints, 102–03
- Timeliness, of TJ research, 326–27
- Timing, in perpetrator studies, 238–39
- TJ mechanisms
- assumptions and questions regarding, 115–16
  - mandates and reconciliation, 159–60
  - role in promoting reconciliation, 158–59
  - strategies for promoting reconciliation, 161–64
  - understanding reconciliation, 160–61
- TJ research network, 332–33
- Transcripts, analysis of, and reconciliation, 167
- Transitional Justice Data Base, 65
- Trauma-focused research, 212
- Trial Watch, 64
- Trust, in community research, 305–06
- Truth
- acceptance of, measuring, 181–82
  - as complex and elusive concept, 96
  - assumptions regarding recovery of, 96
  - “deeper” truth, determination of, 97
  - divergent perspectives of, 98
  - documentation of and accountability for, 93, 95
  - factual versus explanatory truth, 251
  - factual versus moral truth, 254
  - global versus local truth, 252–54
  - importance of recovery, 92–95
  - as objective of TJ program, 13
  - truth telling, approaches to and valuation of, 97
  - victim testimony from third party, 98
- Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Yugoslavia, 160
- Truth commissions
- assessing the impact on society, 108–11
  - comparative study. *See* Comparative research
  - conceptions and approaches to truth finding, 103–04
  - credibility and acceptance of the findings, 108–09
  - development of a common history, 109
  - factors affecting the work of, 100–04
  - findings, expectations about, 252
  - fostering acknowledgment of and responsibility for past abuses, 109–10
  - incompatibility of duties, 95
  - limitations on, 95–100
  - mandates and terms of reference, 100–02, 159–60
  - methodologies, 105–07
  - micro truth and macro truth, 103–08
  - public versus nonpublic operations, 107–08
  - purpose, procedures, and duties, 93–95, 100–03
  - reaching out to and educating the population, 110–11
  - reports of, 111
  - time and resource limitations, 99, 102–03
  - as TJ mechanisms, 313–15
- Truth commissions following political transitions, 35t–38t
- Tutu, Desmond, 92, 147–48, 162
- Uganda, 32, 127, 132, 137, 260
- UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, 64
- United States Institute of Peace, 64
- Universal versus local meaning in research, 136–37
- Unsettling Accounts* (Payne), 227–47
- Unspeakable Truths* (Hayner), 96, 214
- Uruguay, 32, 44
- van Dongen, Toine, 98
- Victim studies, 128–30
- Victims
- advocates and support services, 269–70
  - community studies and, 299–300
  - goals of TJ, 122–23
  - interviewing, for perpetrator studies, 244
  - justice needs, 123
  - perpetrator studies and, 231
  - perpetrators as, 237
  - public perceptions of justice, 126, 126n17
  - public versus nonpublic testimony, 107–08
  - restorative justice processes, satisfaction with, 119–20
  - right to know the truth about atrocities, violence, or abuses, 92
  - testimony as primary source of data, 98
- Villa-Vicencio, Charles, 173
- Volkan, Vamick, 152
- Weinstein, Harvey M., 215
- West Africa Transitional Justice Project, 66

Witness studies, 129–30

Workshops, in local history studies, 308–09

Yugoslavia, 132, 134. *See also* International  
Criminal Tribunal for Yugoslavia  
(ICTY); Truth and Reconciliation  
Commission of Yugoslavia

Zimbabwe, 134